arXiv:1604.05853v1 [math.DG] 20 Apr 2016

A SIMPLE PROOF OF THE SHORT-TIME EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS FOR RICCI FLOW

KAVEH EFTEKHARINASAB

Abstract. In this short note we give a simple proof for the local existence and uniqueness for the Ricci flow on a compact manifold. We suggest a new setting for studying the space of Riemannian metrics on a compact manifold.

In a series of papers ([5, 6, 4]) the auther has studied a new class of generlized Fréchet manifolds. In this short note we give an almost evident application of these manifolds to study geometric evaluations equations.

A Ricci flow is a family of Riemannian metrics $g(t)$ on a smooth manifold, parametrized by a time interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ and evolving by

$$\frac{\partial g(t)}{\partial t} = -2\mathrm{Ric}(g(t)),$$

where $\mathrm{Ric}(g(t))$ is the Ricci curvature of the metric $g(t)$. One considers t as time and studies the equation as an initial value problem, that is to say find a one-parameter family $(M, g(t))$ of Riemannian manifolds with $g(0) = g_0$ satisfying the Ricci flow equation. The Ricci flow is a weakly parabolic system, therefore, the short-time existence does not come from the general PDE theory. The original proof of the local existence and uniqueness for solutions to the Ricci flow on a compact manifold by Hamilton ([10]) is sophisticated and involves the tame Fréchet setting and the Nash-Moser inverse function theorem. Later, DeTurck [2] simplified the proof by adding to the equation a separate evolving diffeomorphism to make it a strongly parabolic and deduced the local existence and uniqueness from an equivalent equation which is strictly parabolic, and the classical inverse function theorem suffices.

The key ingredient to study geometric evaluation equations is a structure of the space of all Riemannian metrics on a given manifold which is a Fréchet manifold. There are some intrinsic difficulties with Fréchet spaces such as the lack of a general theory for ODEs and an inverse function theorem (in general) that make the proofs of results (like the Hamilton's proof) involving these spaces formidable. However, there are some ways out of these difficulties. One way is a projective limit approach where we consider a special type of Fréchet manifolds, including the space of Riemannian metrics, which are obtained as projective limit of Banach manifolds (see [3]). Using this method a simpler proof for the short-time existence for Ricci

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C44, 58A99 .

Key words and phrases. Bounded Fréchet manifold, Ricci flow, bounded geometry.

flow was established in [8]. But this approach also has a difficulty, one needs to establish the existence of projective limits of Banach corressponding factors of geometrical and topological objects which would not be always easy.

In this article we give a simple proof for the local existence for Ricci flow. We give to the space of Riemannian metrics on a compact manifold the stracture of a generlized Fréchet manifold, bounded (or MC^k) Fréchet manifold, introduced in [11]. These generlized manifolds surpass the calculus and geometry of Fréchet manifolds and we would expect more of their applications to problems in global analysis (cf. [6]).

1. RESULT

For the reader's convenience, we briefly recall the bounded Fréchet setting. For more details we refer to [6].

Let (F, d) be a Fréchet space whose topology is defined by a complete translational-invariant metric d. A metric with absolutely convex balls will be called a standard metric. Every Fréchet space admits a standard metric which defines its topology. We shall always define the topology of Fréchet spaces with this type of metrics.

Let (E, g) and (F, d) be Fréchet spaces and let $\mathcal{L}_{g,d}(E, F)$ be the set of all linear maps $L : E \to F$ such that

$$\mathit{Lip}(L)_{g,d} \coloneqq \sup_{x\in E\setminus\{0\}} \frac{d(L(x), 0)}{g(x, 0)} < \infty.$$

The transversal-invariant metric

$$D_{g,d} : \mathcal{L}_{g,d}(E, F) \times \mathcal{L}_{g,d}(E, F) \longrightarrow [0, \infty),\ (L, H) \mapsto \mathit{Lip}(L - H)_{g,d}\,, \tag{1.1}$$

on $\mathcal{L}_{d,g}(E, F)$ turns it into an Abelian topological group ([5, Remark 2.1]). Let E, F be Fréchet spaces, U an open subset of E, and $P : U \to F$ a continuous map. Let $CL(E, F)$ be the space of all continuous linear maps from E to F topologized by the compact-open topology. We say P is differentiable at the point $p \in U$ if the directional derivative $\mathrm{d}\,P(p)$ exists in all directions $h \in E$. If P is differentiable at all points $p \in U$, if $\mathrm{d}\,P(p) : U \to CL(E, F)$ is continuous for all $p \in U$ and if the induced map $P' : U \times E \to F$, $(u, h) \mapsto \mathrm{d}\,P(u)h$ is continuous in the product topology, then we say that P is Keller-differentiable. We define $P^{(k+1)} : U \times E^{k+1} \to F$ in the obvious inductive fashion.

If P is Keller-differentiable, $\mathrm{d}\,P(p) \in \mathcal{L}_{d,g}(E, F)$ for all $p \in U$, and the induced map $\mathrm{d}\,P(p) : U \to \mathcal{L}_{d,g}(E, F)$ is continuous, then P is called bounded differentiable. We say P is MC^0 and write $P^0 = P$ if it is continuous. We say P is an MC^1 and write $P^{(1)} = P'$ if it is bounded differentiable. Let $\mathcal{L}_{d,g}(E, F)_0$ be the connected component of $\mathcal{L}_{d,g}(E, F)$ containing the zero map. If P is bounded differentiable and if $V \subseteq U$ is a connected open neighborhood of $x_0 \in U$, then $P'(V)$ is connected and hence contained in the connected component $P'(x_0)+$

$\mathcal{L}_{d,g}(E,F)_0$ of $P'(x_0)$ in $\mathcal{L}_{d,g}(E,F)$. Thus, $P' \mid_V - P'(x_0) : V \to \mathcal{L}_{d,g}(E,F)_0$ is again a map between subsets of Fréchet spaces. This enables a recursive definition: If P is MC^1 and V can be chosen for each $x_0 \in U$ such that $P' \mid_V - P'(x_0) : V \to \mathcal{L}_{d,g}(E,F)_0$ is MC^{k-1}, then P is called an MC^k-map. We make a piecewise definition of $P^{(k)}$ by $P^{(k)} \mid_V := (P' \mid_V - P'(x_0))^{(k-1)}$ for x_0 and V as before. The map P is MC^∞ (or smooth) if it is MC^k for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We shall denote the derivative of P at p by $\mathrm{D}\,P(p)$.

Within this framework we can define MC^k Fréchet manifolds, MC^k-maps of manifolds and tangent bundle over MC^k manifolds in obvious fashion way. We assume that manifolds are connected and second countable.

Given a closed connected smooth Riemannian manifold (M,g), we want to endow the set $\mathcal{M}$ of all Riemannian metrics on it with the structure of a bounded Fréchet manifold. To get this manifold structure we need conditions of bounded geometry (cf. [1, 12]).

Definition 1.1. *We will say that (M,g) has bounded geometry, if*

i: *the injectivity radius is positive, and*

ii: *the curvature tensor of M and all its covariant derivatives are bounded.*

A vector (or fiber) bundle $\pi : E \to M$ equipped with a Riemannian bundle metric and a compatible connection will be said to have a bounded geometry, if

iii: *the curvature tensor of E and all its covariant derivatives are bounded.*

Every bundle over a compact manifold has a bounded geometry. Furthermore, Trivial bundle, tangent and cotangent bundles, and tensor bundles are example of bundles of bounded geometry (see [12]). Any manifold admits a metric of bounded geometry ([9]). Analogously, any vector bundle can be equipped with a Riemannian bundle metric and a compatible connection such that it becomes of bounded geometry ([7, Lemma 2.9]).

The bounded Fréchet manifold structure of $\mathcal{M}$ is a direct consequence of the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. [11, Theorem 3.35] *Let $\pi : E \to M$ be a fiber bundle over M equipped with a Riemannian fiber bundle metric and a fibre bundle such that the bundle is of bounded geometry. Then the space of smooth sections $\Gamma(\pi)$ can be given the structure of a bounded Frécht manifold.*

Corollary 1.1. *The space $\mathcal{M}$ has the structure of a bounded Fréchet manifold.*

Proof. Consider the bundle $\pi : T^*M \otimes T^*M \to M$ (symmetric tensor product of the cotangent bundle T^*M). We can endow this bundle with a Riemannian bundle metric $< .,. >$ of bounded geometry. The space $\mathcal{M}$ is the space of all sections of the fiber bundle $\mathrm{Riem}(M)$ defined pointwise as the positive definite elements (pointwise an open convex cone). Now, any

section of π with values in $\mathrm{Riem}(M)$ has an open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ contained in $\mathrm{Riem}(M)$, and as π is a vector bundle with a metric of bounded geometry, we can conclude that $\mathrm{Riem}(M)$ is of bounded geometry. Therefore, Theorem 1.1 follows that $\mathcal{M}$ the structure of a bounded Fréchet manifold. □

A vector field on an infinite dimensional Fréchet manifold may have no, one ore multiple integral curves locally. However, a vector field on a bounded Fréchet manifold always has a unique integral curve. More precisely,

Theorem 1.2. [4, Theorem 5.1] *Let $\xi : M \to TM$ be a vector field. Then there exits an integral curve for ξ at $p \in M$. Furthermore, any two such curves are equal on the intersection of their domains.*

Since the space of all Riemannian metrics on a closed manifold has the structure of a bounded Fréchet manifold, we use this result to proof the short-time existence of the Ricci flow.

Theorem 1.3. *There exists a unique solution $g(t)$ to the Ricci flow equation for $t \in [0, T)$ where T depends on the initial metric.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{X}$ is the MC^∞ smooth vector field on $\mathcal{M}$ that maps a Riemannian metric g to $-2Rc(g)$. Then the Ricci flow curve is the smooth integral curve of this vector field. Hence the Theorem 1.2 guarantees its local existence and uniqueness with any initial metric at $t = 0$. □

Remark 1.1. *Note that MC^k-differentiability is stronger and implies differentiability in the sense of Michel and Bastiani.*

References

[1] J. Cheeger and M. Gromov, Bounds on the von Neumann dimension of L^2-cohomology and the Gauss-Bonnet theorem for open manifolds, J. Differential Geometry 21 (1985),1-34.

[2] D. M. DeTurck, Deforming metrics in the direction of their Ricci tensors, J. Differ. Geom. 18 (1983), 157-162.

[3] C. T. J. Dodson, G. Galanis and E. Vassiliou, Geometry in a Fréchet Context: A projective limit approach, London mathematical society lectures notes series 428, 2015.

[4] K. Eftekharinasab, Geometry of bounded Fréchet manifolds, to appear in Rocky Mountain J. Math.

[5] K. Eftekharinasab, Sard's theorem for mappings between Fréchet manifolds, Ukrainian Math. J. 62 (2010), 1634-1641.

[6] K. Eftekharinasab, Transversality and Lipschitz-Fredholm maps, Zb. Pr. Inst. Mat. NAN Ukr. Vol. 12, 1 (2015), 89-104.

[7] A. Engel, Indices of pseudo-differential operators on open manifolds, Ph.D. thesis, University of Augsburg, 2014.

[8] H. Ghahremani-Gol, A. Razavi, Ricci flow and the manifold of Riemannian metrics, Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications, Vol. 18, 2 (2013), pp. 20-30.

[9] R. Greene, Complete metrics of bounded curvature on noncompact manifolds, Archiv der Mathematik Vol. 31, 1 (1978), 89-95.

[10] R. Hamilton, Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature, J. Differ. Geom. 17 (1982), 255-306.

[11] Müller O. A metric approach to Fréchet geometry, Journal of Geometry and physics 11 (2008), 1477 -1500.

[12] M. A. Shubin, Spectral Theory of Elliptic Operators on Non-Compact Manifolds, Astérisque 207 (1992), 35108.

Topology dept., Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine, Tereshchenkivska st. 3, Kyiv, 01601 Ukraine

E-mail address: kaveh@imath.kiev.ua